



## Putting micropollutants, energy, nutrients and GHG emissions on an equal basis: An LCA approach

Larsen, Henrik Fred

*Publication date:*  
2010

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*  
Larsen, H. F. (Invited author). (2010). Putting micropollutants, energy, nutrients and GHG emissions on an equal basis: An LCA approach. Sound/Visual production (digital)

---

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Putting micropollutants, energy, nutrients and GHG emissions on an equal basis

A life cycle assessment (LCA) approach


H.F. Larsen

DTU Management Engineering, Technical University of Denmark



International  
Water Association


# Problem



Peter Augusto Hansen

Putting micropollutants, energy, nutrients and GHG emissions....(Larsen HF)

# Sustainable solution or sub-optimisation?




Peter Augusto Hansen

Putting micropollutants, energy, nutrients and GHG emissions....(Larsen HF)

# Characteristics of LCA

- A decision supporting tool
- Focus on services typically represented by a product (the “functional unit”, fu). In this case: Treatment of one cubic meter waste water (all impacts related to this unit)
- Comparative (relative statements). In this case: Comparing induced impacts with avoided impacts regarding e.g. ozonation and PAC addition
- Holistic perspective
  - life cycle from cradle to grave
  - all relevant environmental impacts or damages to ‘areas of protection’. In this case:
    - Global warming
    - Nutrient enrichment (eutrofication)
    - Acidification
    - Ecotoxicity
    - .....
- Aggregation over time and space
  - life cycle is global
  - life cycle may span over decades or even centuries

# Life cycle impact assessment (LCIA)



## Classification: “What does this emission contribute to?”

- Assignment of emissions to impact categories according to their potential effects
  - Global warming (e.g. CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>)
  - Acidification (e.g. NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>3</sub>)
  - Ecotoxicity (e.g. pharmaceuticals, heavy metals)
  - Human toxicity (e.g. benzene, PAH's)
  - .....

## Characterisation: “How much may it contribute?”


- Quantification of contributions to the different impact categories by estimating impact potentials, IPs (e.g. multiplying the characterisation factors (CFs) for each chemical by the emitted amount (Q) per functional unit (fu):

$$IP = Q \cdot CF$$

- Example (GWP):

Substance	Q (g/fu)	CF (g CO <sub>2</sub> -eq/g)	IP (g CO <sub>2</sub> -eq/fu)
Carbon dioxid (CO <sub>2</sub> )	250	1	250
Methane (CH <sub>4</sub> )	10	25	250
Total			500

# Life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) and interpretation



## Normalisation: “Is that much?”

- Expression of the impact potentials relative to a reference situation (person-equivalence, PE), e.g. normalisation reference (NR) for GWP: 8,700 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq/pers/year. The normalised impact potential (nIP):

$$nIP = IP/NR$$

Impact category	NR (CO <sub>2</sub> -eq/pers/year)	IP/fu (kg CO <sub>2</sub> -eq/fu)	nIP (mPE/fu)
Global warming (GWP)	8700	0,5	0,057

## Valuation: “Is it important?”

- Assignment of weights (weighting factors, WFs) to the different impact potentials (EDIP: political reduction targets), e.g. for global warming a targeted 10 years reduction of 20% =>  $WF = 1/(1-0.2) = 1.3$ . The weighted impact potential (wIP):

$$wIP = nIP * WF$$

Impact category	WF	nIP (mPE/fu)	wIP (mPET/fu)
Global warming (GWP)	1,3	0,057	0,074

## Interpretation: “Which alternative is better and what determines it?”

- E.g. is ozonation worth it in an environmental sustainability context or should we avoid it?

# Normalisation references (NRs) and weighting factors (WFs) for the LCA method EDIP97


Impact category	Unit for impact	Normalisation reference (NR, 1994) 1 PE	Region	Weighting factor (WF, 2004) 1 PET
Ecotoxicity water	Cubic meter water	352.000 m <sup>3</sup> /capita/year	EU-15	1,18
Ecotoxicity soil	Cubic meter soil	964.000 m <sup>3</sup> /capita/year	EU-15	1
Human toxicity water	Cubic meter water	52.200 m <sup>3</sup> /capita/year	EU-15	1,3
Human toxicity soil	Cubic meter soil	127 m <sup>3</sup> /capita/year	EU-15	1,23
Photochemical oxidation	Kg C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -eq	25 kg/capita/year	EU-15	1,33
Nutrient enrichment	Kg NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -eq	119 kg/capita/year	EU-15	1,22
Acidification	Kg SO <sub>2</sub> -eq	74 kg/capita/year	EU-15	1,27
Global warming	Kg CO <sub>2</sub> -eq	8.700 kg/capita/year	Global	1,12

Stranddorf et al. 2005



# LCA approach used in the EU project Neptune for environmental sustainability assessments of WWTTs

## Avoided against induced impacts




# Modelling LCA on ozonation; Main plan

(physical inventory)

## Ozonation (3.2gO<sub>3</sub>/m<sup>3</sup>WW)

GaBi 4 process plan: Reference quantities

The names of the basic processes are shown.




# Modelling LCA on ozonation; Sub-plan (physical inventory)

## Buildings and constructions; Ozonation

GaBi 4 process plan: Reference quantities



The names of the basic processes are shown.



Putting micropollutants, energy, nutrients and GHG emissions....(Larsen HF)

# LCA impact profiles

(weighting factor = 1 for all impact categories)  
(22 micropollutants)




Avoided: 10,7 μPET/m³

Induced: 10,1 μPET/m³

# Environmental sustainability profile; ozonation + sand filtration

(22 micropollutants, only significant ones shown)


(weighting factor = 1 for all impact categories)



# Environmental sustainability profile; ozonation + sand filtration

(Including removal of metals in sand filter)

(31 micropollutants (only significant ones shown); weighting factor = 1 for all impact categories)



# Environmental sustainability profile; ozonation + sand filtration (including both metal and phosphorus removal)

(31 micropollutants + P (only significant ones shown); weighting factor = 1 for all impact categories)

